

Metrics for Success: Neglect and Apathy – Your Worst Enemy

George Campbell

Originally Published in Security InfoWatch

January 2013



Metrics for Success: Neglect and Apathy – Your Worst Enemy

Risks become avoidable when we put effective safeguards in place to counter them. They become inevitable when we fail to do our jobs — that is, when we disable or fail to enable essential security measures. Let's look at a large retail chain as one example.

This retail chain has for several years been aggressively acquiring smaller regional competitors while shedding internal business units in favor of outsourced service vendors and suppliers. Every effort is being taken to cut costs and increase profitability to position the company for acquisition.

Over the past 24 months, the company has been experiencing increasingly serious inventory losses across its distribution facilities, as well as a notable increase in internal fraud and misconduct. The security program is assigned to the Facilities Manager, who has outsourced what he sees as security to multiple guard vendors assigned to local cost centers.

At the Board's urging, the CEO has tasked the Chief Risk Officer to probe and identify the factors contributing to these loss trends. An outside firm is used to conduct a risk assessment, and they conduct an employee survey that asks about perceptions of security policy and practices.

This survey obtains responses from more than 2,200 employees at all levels across the company's North American operations. A few key results are seen in the chart above, but what is behind these numbers is compelling.

First, it is not surprising that those respondents with less than a year's tenure have the highest levels of confidence and lowest perception of problems. After all, that HR and Loss Prevention pitch on how seriously the company takes its security procedures and the consequence of wrongdoing is still fresh in their minds. But look what happens as the veterans influence behavior and learning sets in — cost cutting, performance pressure and rule bending combine to create an environment where looking the other way while looking out for Number One is the chosen course.

Take the question on employee commitment to share responsibility for asset protection — in a more controlled setting, this is a question that typically focuses on security awareness and related ownership. But in this environment, it is merely a reflection of the way the company behaves. Why should employees share in a process that they clearly see management failing to articulate and acknowledge as an expectation? The trend line sums it up beautifully: “The longer I’ve worked here, the more clearly I see that our bosses could care less, so I’ll be darned if I’m going to do my part!”

Actually, the problem runs much deeper than that. It is so clear that management is disengaged and the controls are so turned off that, given the motive, the opportunity to “take what I want” is almost advertised on the break room walls. Not only is management not seen as welcoming suggestions for improved security, management is viewed as not even caring if anything is secure! Thus, there is no surprise at the fairly quick failure of confidence in security controls and practices. What few security procedures they do have are virtually meaningless.

Ethics and expectations are not visible on management’s slate of commitments. The few indicators we see here are likely just the first level of darker issues bubbling in the offices along mahogany row.

George Campbell is emeritus faculty of the Security Executive Council and former CSO of Fidelity Investments. His book, Measures and Metrics in Corporate Security, may be purchased at www.securityexecutivecouncil.com. The Council offers risk mitigation leaders trusted and experience-based options, program decision assurance and targeted information to ensure security initiatives are on target and cost effective.

About the Security Executive Council

We are a research and advisory firm for security leaders. We have a collective of close to 100 security subject matter experts that have been successful security executives or are recognized industry experts in their field. The resources and tools we develop are constantly evolving to provide maximum value. Some engage with us by way of multi-year “retained” services agreements (Tier 1 Stakeholders). Tier 1 Stakeholders are those that want support on an ongoing basis but also want to have an active role in identifying solutions for the industry. Others come to us seeking a specific solution to a contained issue. In all the ways people engage with the SEC the bottom line goal is to help define and communicate the value of the Security organization.

Contact us at: contact@seclleader.com

Learn more about the SEC here: <https://www.securityexecutivecouncil.com>